

DOCKET: 9847-0036-6X PCT



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF :

ERLAND SORENSEN ET AL : EXAMINER: PEREZ, G.

SERIAL NO: 09/508,685 :

RCE FILED: August 28, 2002 : GROUP ART UNIT: 2834

FOR: ROTARY ELECTRIC MACHINE :

PETITION FOR SUSPENSION OF ACTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.103(a) AND
REQUEST FOR A SECOND SUSPENSION OF ACTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.103(a),
PURSUANT TO MPEP 1002.02(c)9

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

It is respectfully requested that prosecution in the above-identified patent application be suspended for a period of six months under 37 C.F.R. § 1.103(a). Applicants also request a second suspension of time for an additional six months, pursuant to MPEP § 1002.02(c)9, for a total of twelve months, beginning with the filing of the subject Petition.

As explained in MPEP § 709A, a petition for suspension of action under 37 C.F.R. § 1.103(a) must:

- (a) be presented as a separate paper;
- (b) be accompanied by the petition fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h);
- (c) request a specific and reasonable period of suspension not greater than six months; and
- (d) present good and sufficient reasons why suspension is necessary.

The present Petition complies with the above-identified requirements as it is (a) presented in a separate paper, (b) is accompanied by the petition fee, (c) requests a specific and reasonable period of suspension, initially six months, followed by a concurrent request for a second suspension of action for an additional six months, and (d) presents good and sufficient reasons why this suspension is necessary, as described below.

The subject patent application is one of more than 100 related U.S. patent applications, all of which contain related subject matter. These applications have been handled as a group within TC2800 and have been handled according to special procedures as described in paper No. 11 of U.S. Patent Application 09/147,325, which is the Office of the Deputy Assistant Commissioner for Patent Policy and Projects' "Response to Petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.82 Seeking Special Treatment Relating to an Electronic Search Tool, and Decision on Petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.183 Seeking Waiver of Requirements under 37 C.F.R. § 1.98." The basis of the special procedures is that all of the applications contain related subject matter.

During prosecution, a large majority of the applications, including the subject application, have been rejected based on common arguments. Rather than appeal all of the rejected cases to challenge these rejections, Applicants, after consulting with Director of TC2800, Mr. Richard Seidel, and Supervisory Primary Examiner, Elvin Enad, are proceeding to appeal the rejections in at least U.S. Application No. 08/873,019, since the issues being presented on appeal are relevant to the present application. Because it is unlikely that the claims in the present application will be allowed until the issues on appeal are resolved, Applicants respectfully request suspension of action by the Office in the subject application. Since the decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences will influence so many cases, a speedy decision is expected within the next 12 months. The request for the second

suspension is made herewith because it is unlikely that the decision will be made within the next 6 months. Thus it is respectfully submitted that there are good and sufficient reasons why the suspension of action is necessary in this case.

There are no outstanding Office Actions in the present application at this time.

Consequently, it is respectfully submitted that the present Petition meets the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.103(a). Furthermore, Applicants respectfully request that the Petition to suspend action for six months be granted, and Applicants' Request for a second six month suspension of action also be granted. The fee as required under §1.17(i) for the present petition of \$130.00 is enclosed.

In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 CFR 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully Submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Bradley D. Lytle
Bradley D. Lytle
Attorney of Record
Registration No. 40,073




22850

(703) 413-3000
Fax #: (703)413-2220



Docket No.: 9847-0036-6X PCT



ATTORNEYS AT LAW

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 09/508,685

Applicants: ERLAND SORENSEN ET AL
RCE FILED: AUGUST 28, 2002
For: ROTATING ELECTRIC MACHINE
Group Art Unit: 2834
Examiner: PEREZ, G.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

PETITION FOR SUBSEQUENT SUSPENSION OF ACTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.103(a)
PURSUANT TO MPEP§ 1002.02(c)9 (in duplicate) with attached copy of Petition filed 8-28-02

Our check in the amount of \$130.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Bradley D. Lytle

Bradley D. Lytle
Registration No. 40,073



22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone)
(703) 413-2220 (fax)

08/26/2003 SH149951 00000081 09508685
01 FC:1460

130.00 OP